Worksheet for Technical Review of Working Draft of Proposed Permit

Company Name:	**Colonial Pipeline Company**	**AI #:**	**27646**	**TEMPO Activity No:**	**20070001**
Facility Name:	**Baton Rouge Junction Facility**	**Remarks Submitted by:**	**November 7, 2007 Serugudi Mani**		
Permit Writer:	**Dan Nguyen**	**Permit Writer Email address:**	**Dan.nguyen@la.gov**		

Instructions

Permit Reference – Indicate specific portion(s) of the permit to which the remark relates (i.e. "Specific Condition 120", or "Section II Air Permits Briefing Sheet", etc.).

Remarks – Explain the basis for each remark. Provide regulatory citations where possible. If the remark is made due to an error or omission in the permit application this must be noted and the revised information ***must be submitted.*** Revised information may be submitted separately from this worksheet. Please be aware that revised information must be submitted in writing and certified by the Responsible Official, and if necessary, by a Professional Engineer licensed in Louisiana. *Please Note:* New or additional equipment, processes or operating conditions not addressed in the original permit application will be addressed on a case-by-case basis. The Department reserves the right to address such changes in a separate permit action.

DEQ Response – ***DO NOT COMPLETE THIS SECTION.*** This section will be completed by Air Permits Division of DEQ, included in the proposed permit package and made available for public review during any required public comment period.

- Additional rows may be added as necessary.
- Completed Form shall be emailed to the Permit writer in MS Word compatible format within the deadline specified in the email notification.
- ***DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO SUBMIT COMMENTS DURING THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.***

Permit Reference	Remarks	Air Permits Division Response (for official use only)
3033-V1	Minor emission source but needs a Title V due to contiguous nature and common control. No Concerns from the CRO/Surveillance.	

Worksheet for Technical Review of Working Draft of Proposed Permit

Company Name:	**Colonial Pipeline Company**	**AI #:**	**27646**	**TEMPO Activity No:**	**20070001**
Facility Name:	**Baton Rouge Junction Facility**	**Remarks Submitted by:**	**November 7, 2007**		
Permit Writer:	**Dan Nguyen**	**Permit Writer Email address:**	**Dan.nguyen@la.gov**		

Instructions

Permit Reference – Indicate specific portion(s) of the permit to which the remark relates (i.e. "Specific Condition 120", or "Section II Air Permits Briefing Sheet", etc.).

Remarks – Explain the basis for each remark. Provide regulatory citations where possible. If the remark is made due to an error or omission in the permit application this must be noted and the revised information ***must be submitted.*** Revised information may be submitted separately from this worksheet. Please be aware that revised information must be submitted in writing and certified by the Responsible Official, and if necessary, by a Professional Engineer licensed in Louisiana. *Please Note:* New or additional equipment, processes or operating conditions not addressed in the original permit application will be addressed on a case-by-case basis. The Department reserves the right to address such changes in a separate permit action.

DEQ Response – ***DO NOT COMPLETE THIS SECTION.*** This section will be completed by Air Permits Division of DEQ, included in the proposed permit package and made available for public review during any required public comment period.

- Additional rows may be added as necessary.
- Completed Form shall be emailed to the Permit writer in MS Word compatible format within the deadline specified in the email notification.
- ***DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO SUBMIT COMMENTS DURING THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.***

Permit Reference	Remarks	Air Permits Division Response (for official use only)
Section X: Applicable Louisiana and Federal Air Quality Requirements	Applicability of 40 CFR 68 should be represented as a "2", not a "1". Since the facility has not exceeded threshold quantities of regulated substances, the "*regulations have applicable requirements which apply to this particular emission source, but is currently exempt from these requirements due to meeting a specific criteria*" (i.e., not exceeding threshold quantities).	The draft permit is revised
Specific Requirement 3	This regulation is for loading racks, not Tank 132. Since loading activities are not conducted at the facility, this specific requirement should be removed from the permit.	The draft permit is revised to remove the requirement
Specific Requirement 8	This regulation applies to pressure vessels. Since Tank 132 is not a pressure vessel, this specific requirement does not apply and should be removed.	The draft permit is revised to remove the requirement
Specific Requirements 53 through 56	These specific requirements currently do not apply since threshold quantities of regulated substances have not been exceeded. These specific requirements should be removed or commented with a suffix that clearly establishes that these requirements will only apply if threshold quantities of regulated substances are exceeded in the future.	The draft permit is revised to remove the requirements