Worksheet for Technical Review of Working Draft of Proposed Permit

Company Name:	**Interstate Brands Corporation**	**AI #:**	**5849**	**TEMPO Activity No:**	**PER20090001**
Facility Name:	**ALexandria Bakery**	**Remarks Submitted by:**	**Chris H. Schreiber on behalf of Scott Johnson**		
Permit Writer:	**Hassan Ghosn**	**Permit Writer Email address:**	**Hassan.Ghosn@la.gov**		

Instructions

Permit Reference – Indicate specific portion(s) of the permit to which the remark relates (i.e. "Specific Condition 120", or "Section II Air Permit Briefing Sheet", etc.).

Remarks – Explain the basis for each remark. Provide regulatory citations where possible. If the remark is made due to an error or omission in the permit application this must be noted and the revised information ***must be submitted.*** Revised information may be submitted separately from this worksheet. Please be aware that revised information must be submitted in writing and certified by the Responsible Official, and if necessary, by a Professional Engineer licensed in Louisiana. *Please Note:* New or additional equipment, processes or operating conditions not addressed in the original permit application will be addressed on a case-by-case basis. The Department reserves the right to address such changes in a separate permit action.

DEQ Response – ***DO NOT COMPLETE THIS SECTION.*** This section will be completed by Air Permits Division of DEQ, included in the proposed permit package and made available for public review during the public comment period.

- Additional rows may be added as necessary.
- Completed Form shall be emailed to the Permit writer in MS Word compatible format within the deadline specified in the email notification.

Permit Reference	Remarks	Air Permits Division Response (for official use only)
Statement of Basis, p1, II	Paragraph 2, delete sentence 4. Then insert in the last sentence after "dropped into pans and ~~are~~" the following phrase "passed through the proofer to allow the dough to rise before being "	Changed as requested.
Statement of Basis, p2, Permitted Air Emissions	The flour filter efficiency was revised to 96%. The manufacturer's specification will be used to meet this requirement, either for the current fabric in use or an alternative fabric. This change will make the PM10 After emissions 0.75 tpy, and the change will be –0.21 tpy.	Changes are made in accordance with the revised information per the email dated December 17, 2009.
Draft, p2, Estimated emissions summary	The flour filter efficiency was revised to 96%. The manufacturer's specification will be used to meet this requirement, either for the current fabric in use or an alternative fabric. This change will make the PM10 After emissions 0.75 tpy, and the change will be –0.21 tpy.	Updated in accordance with the revised information per the email dated December 17, 2009.
Draft, p5, item IX	Suggest adding EP16 to the ID No. for the 1.1 MMBtu/hour space heater. EP16 includes other space heaters below 1 MMBtu/hour relative to 501.B.5.A.5 and also the one space heater that is over 1 MMBtu/hour relative to 501.B.5.A.1	The current listing remains because it offers correct citations.

Public Notice, p1	The reference to the permit number is incorrect	The permit to be renewed is: Permit No. is 2360-00088-V3.
Public Notice, p1, Estimated emissions summary	The flour filter efficiency was revised to 96%. The manufacturer's specification will be used to meet this requirement, either for the current fabric in use or an alternative fabric. This change will make the PM10 After emissions 0.75 tpy, and the change will be –0.21 tpy.	Updated in accordance with the revised information per the email dated December 17, 2009.
Emission Rates for Criteria Pollutants	PM10 emissions will change for EP1, EP2 and Si1 through Si6 due to an efficiency of 96%. EP1 ave = 0.08 #/hr; EP2 ave =0.04 #/hr; EP1 annual = 0.29 tpy; EP2 an`nual = 0.16 tpy; EP1 and EP2 max #/hr should be blank; Si1 to Si6 are each 0.38 max #/hr; Revised EIQs are included for EP1, EP2 and Si1 through Si6. A revised spread sheet of the significant emission sources is attached.	Updated consistent with the revised EIQs sent via email dated December 17, 2009.
Specific Requirement No. 1	Change the control efficiency to 96 %. See attached Specifications for Pfening Silo Filters.	Updated in accordance with the revised information per the email dated December 17, 2009.
Inventories, p1, stack information	EP3A stack height is 30 feet.	Changed as requested.
Inventories, p1, stack information	EP4A flow rate is 2451 cfm; diameter is 1.17 feet; stack height is 29 feet; temperature is 350 F.	Changed as requested.

LDEQ-EDMS Document 45083894, Page 30 of 106