Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 point maximum) Circle Score A. How well did the presentation address or identify: The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different named resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildife?) All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of coological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, conomic impact on local resources, cost of doing nonthing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, fundions, clean and healthy environment, fight to farm, urban issues, cultural issue, environmental justice). The team presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearly stated and supported. Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Part III Quality of Presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Usual aids were used to make major points an	1011	Canon Envir	Canon Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations							
Judge's Number or initials Care Cook of Farly well Excellent or very well Excellent ore	4	Team Number	R	.oom Number	\neg	2	Poor or	poorly		
A. How well did the presentation address or sidentify: The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different autual assource management strategies? The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology; forestry, wildife?) All the different players/funcest groups affected by the problem? The cutrent issue? The cutrent issue? The opening of the problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? Were references and resources cited in the team presentation? Part I Subtotal	ROTHON	Judge's Number or initia	l L_			6 8	Good o Excellen	r fairly well at or very well		
A. How well did the presentation address or identify: The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different autual resource management strategies? The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, sapatic ecology, forestry, widthic?) The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, sapatic ecology, forestry, widthic?) The current issue? The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? Part I Subtotal Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid undestanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on splitfal system/community). The team demonstrated a solid undestanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid undestanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to firm, whan issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, making the farm inspect to local resources, ose of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), setc.) Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environments, miles to firm, whan issue, cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environments, miles to firm, whan issue, cultural issue experience issue. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part II Su	Dont I I	Duanauation & Duana	ntation of Plan	(60 point p	assimum)					
The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource anagement strategies? The major natural resources areas (solis/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildifer) All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? The current issue? The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation? Part II Subtotal Part II Subtotal Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score The can demonstrated a solid understanding of cological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/commanisy). The treat memonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The can demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem of the problem. The treat memonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem of problem. The treat memonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem in flowing property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issue, environmental justice). The team memonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem in flowing property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issue, environmental justice). The team presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural on 2 4 6 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		_		i (oo poiiit ii	iaxiiiiuiii)		C	ircle Score		
The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildife?) All the different players/interess groups affected by the problem? The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? Team demonstrated a soid understanding of polistical issues(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a soid understanding of ecological/environmental issues(s) related to the problem fluiding the cost & behavior of implemental the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding sources), related to the problem reluding the cost & behavior in the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to firm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team demonstrated as wild understanding of social and/cultural issues(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to firm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presentation was because the problem addressing the resource issue. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearly stated and supported. Part II Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Part III Quality of Presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, eshibited professionalism, etc) Quastions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Su		The interrelationship betwen th	ne environment, natura	al resources, and th	ne different		0	2 4 6	8 1	
All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? Part I Subtotal Part I Subtotal Part I Subtotal Part I Mapplication of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & possible problem (subtractive to the problem). The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & possible problem (subtractive to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). Died in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Part III Subtotal Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Part III Subtotal		natural resource management s	rategies?					2 4 6		
The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation? Part I Subtotal The team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, counomic impact on local resources, cost of doing noting, future costs, fanding source(s), etc.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issue, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution sellect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution sellect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Part III Quality of Presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating	•	The major natural resources an	eas (soils/land use, aq	uatic ecology, fores	stry, wildlife?)			2 4 6	==	
The current issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation? Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem, (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, sight to farm, which issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, sight to farm, which issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Part iii Subtotal	•	All the different players/intere	st groups affected by	the problem?				===		
The specific environmental prototem and related issues regarding the problem? Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on apolitical system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding sources), etc. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. The main points were clearly stated and supported. Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Part III Quality of Presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, each part of the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to the points	= 7	The current issue?								
Part I Subtotal Part II Subtotal Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), text.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultral issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), text.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultral issue(s) related to the problem fiving property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one visible solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part III Subtotal	•	The specific environmental pr	oblem and related issu	ies regarding the p	roblem?		0	2 4 6	8 1	
Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum) Circle Score Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearlly stated and supported. Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part III Subtotal Part III	B. Were	references and resources cited	in the team presentat	ion?			0	2 4 6	8 1	
Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community). Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, fitture costs, funding source(s), etc. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issue, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Part II Subtoral Part II Subtoral Part II Subtoral Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Questions were unswered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part III Subtotal O 2 4 6 8 1 Part III Subtotal O 2 4 6 8 1						Part	I Subt	otal		
The team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearlly stated and supported. Part III Subtotal P	Part II	Application of Data	ι (80 points ma	ıximum)			Ci	rcle Score		
The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, fixture costs, funding source(s), etc. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearly stated and supported. Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Questions were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:			g of political issue(s)	related to the pro	blem (regulations, man	idates, impact	0	2 4 6	8 1	
benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.) The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearlly stated and supported. Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part III Subtotal Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:							0	2 4 6	8 1	
The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice). The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearlly stated and supported. Part II Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) O 2 4 6 8 1	benefit o	of the proposed plan, (cost of	implementing the pla	` '			0	2 4 6	8 1	
The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearly stated and supported. Part II Subtotal Part II Subtotal Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part III Subtotal Part III Subtot	. The team	n demonstrated a solid underst	anding of social and/	` '			0	2 4 6	8 1	
Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural sources. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues? The main points were clearlly stated and supported. Part II Subtotal Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Part III Subtotal Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Add up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:		·				ientai justice).	0	2 4 6	8 1	
Part II Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	Solution i	in the presentation has potenti	al to be applied or im	plemented with lo	ng term sustainability	to natural	0	2 4 6	===	
Part II Subtotal Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Circle Score Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part III Subtotal Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	. Did the	solution reflect or address the	concerns of all affect	ed groups and issu	ies?		0	2 4 6	8 1	
Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum) Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids shoud be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	. The main	n points were clearlly stated an	d supported.				0	2 4 6	8 1	
Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:						Part I	I Subt	otal		
Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.) Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Circle Score Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal participation in presentation.) Add up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	Part III	Quality of Present	ation (40 point	ts maximum	1)		C	ircle Score		
Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:			` -					2 4 6	8 1	
Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	Participar	nts enhanced the presentation	(eye contact, gestures	, voice inflection,	originality, exhibited pr	ofessionalism, etc.)	0	2 4 6	8 1	
Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating. Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:		, L	oints and show conclu	isions. (Visual aids	shoud be correct, eye	appealing,	0	2 4 6	8 1	
Part IV Required Elements (20 points maximum) Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:		· ,	concisely by all team	members particip	ating.	Part		2 4 6	8 1	
Add up to ten points for all team members participanting in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 point for equal all participation in presentation.) Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:								L		
Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:		•	` -	,			rcle S	core		
Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made efective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:			bers participanting in	presentation. (Eacl	h team member gets 2	point for equal	0	2 4 6	8 1	
use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER TIME ON THE LINE BELOW ON RIGHT:	Add up	to five points if the presentation	on accomplished the ta	ask of presenting a	ı plan.		0	1 2 3	4 5	
pts-17-20 mins/4pts-15-16:59 mins/3pts-13-14:59mins/2pts-11-12:59mins/1pt-9-10:59mins/0pts Part IV Subtotal		*	•							
	pts-17-20) mins/4pts-15-16:59 mins/	3pts-13-14:59mins/2	2pts-11-12:59mins	s/1pt-9-10:59mins/0	Part	IV Su	ibtotal		